South Middleton School District



District Report Card March, 2007

As part of the federal No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB), school districts are required to publish an annual district report card. The purpose of this report card is to inform you of the District's progress in meeting forty-one targets established under NCLB. The targets include graduation rate, attendance rates for students in Kindergarten through Grade 8, and academic performance as measured by the Pennsylvania System of School Assessment (PSSA). This report card provides information on how well South Middleton students met the targets, as well as a comparison between the achievement levels of our schools and those of other schools across the state.

Please review the enclosed data reflecting the performance of the South Middleton School District students for the 2005-06 school year. If you have any questions about this information, please contact your child's building principal; Dr. Sandra J. Tippett, Assistant Superintendent for Curriculum and Instruction; or Dr. Patricia B. Sanker, Superintendent of Schools.

ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

No Child Left Behind requires school districts to include information about the achievement levels of their students and their progress toward achieving Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). Adequate Yearly Progress is the process used by the Pennsylvania Department of Education to ensure that students improve their academic achievement on a continual basis. AYP is determined through an analysis of the results of the Pennsylvania System of School Assessments (PSSAs). For the 2005-2006 school year, PSSA results for Grades 3, 5, 8, and 11 have been utilized to determine AYP. The ultimate goal of No Child Left Behind is that 100% of our students will become proficient in math and reading as measured by a state assessment by the 2013-2014 school year. For the 2005-2006 school year, the requirement is 45% proficiency in math and 54% proficiency in reading on the PSSAs.

For the 2005-2006 school year, South Middleton School District achieved adequate yearly progress in ALL areas.

GRADUATION RATES

In addition to test scores, No Child Left Behind requires school districts to measure Adequate Yearly Progress by other factors that may have an impact on student achievement. The Pennsylvania Department of Education has established high school graduation rate as an additional factor. The graduation rate measures the number of students receiving a regular high school diploma in a given year against the total number of dropouts.

Table 1: Graduation Rate

The graduation target rate is 80% or higher.

Student Group	District	State
All Students	86%	88%
Gender		
Male	82%	86%
Female	90%	89%
Ethnicity		
White	85%	91%
Black	*	74%
Latino	*	68%
Asian	*	90%
Native American	*	86%
Other Groups		
IEP	64%	81%
Limited English Proficiency	*	72%
Migrant	*	72%
Economically Disadvantaged	*	79%

Note: * indicates fewer than 10 students in a group. To provide meaningful results and to protect the privacy of individual students, data are printed only when the total number of students in a group is at least 10.

TEACHER QUALIFICATIONS

During the 2005-2006 school year, South Middleton School District employed 179 professional staff members K-12. 100% of the professional staff members were considered Highly Qualified according to the No Child Left Behind definition, which means they have the required certification in the subject area they teach. South Middleton School District takes pride in the professional qualifications of our staff.

TWO-YEAR COMPARISON OF DISTRICT STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT AS MEASURED BY THE STATE PSSA

The following tables provide information on overall student performance results and participation rates for each performance level (Advanced, Proficient, Basic, and Below Basic). The information is also separated for the various subgroups and compared with the State averages for each group. Note: because the PSSA was given for the first time in Grades 4, 6, and 7, a two-year comparison is not available for those grades.

Table 2: Grade 3 Results

	2005-2006												
		Perce	ntage of S	Students Sco	oring								
	Participation	Proficient											
	Rate	Basic	Basic	Proficient	Advanced	District	State						
Grade 3: Math													
All Students	100	4	8	23	65	88	82						
Gender													
Males	100	7	6	16	71	87	83						
Females	100	1	10	28	61	89	82						
Race/Ethnicity													
White	100	4	8	22	65	88	88						
Other Groups													
IEP	100	21	24	21	34	55	58						
Economic													
Disadvantaged	100	24	12	18	47	65	69						
Grade 3: Reading	g												
All Students	100	14	10	39	37	76	69						
Gender													
Males	100	17	12	36	35	71	65						
Females	100	11	8	42	39	81	72						
Race/Ethnicity													
White	100	14	10	39	37	76	76						
Other Groups													
IEP	100	52	7	28	14	41	37						
Economic													
Disadvantaged	100	41	12	24	24	47	51						

NA – Data are not available
Results for fewer than ten students in a group are not reported
for confidentiality reasons
Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding

Table 3: Grade 4 Results

	2005-2006													
	Percentage of Students Scoring													
	Participation	& Proficient												
	Rate	Basic	Basic	Proficient	Advanced	District	State							
Grade 4: Math														
All Students	*	7	8	44	41	85	*							
Gender														
Males	*	8	6	41	45	86	*							
Females	*	6	11	48	35	83	*							
Race/Ethnicity														
White	*	8	8	44	40	84	*							
Other Groups														
IEP	*	14	10	48	28	76	*							
Economic														
Disadvantaged	*	20	10	60	10	70	*							
Grade 4: Readin	ıg													
All Students	*	7	11	49	32	81	*							
Gender														
Males	*	9	11	50	30	80	*							
Females	*	5	11	48	35	83	*							
Race/Ethnicity														
White	*	8	11	50	30	80	*							
Other Groups														
IEP	*	28	17	41	14	55	*							
Economic														
Disadvantaged	*	20	10	50	20	70	*							

NA – Data are not available
Results for fewer than ten students in a group are not reported for
confidentiality reasons
Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding

Table 4: Grade 5 Results

			2005	-2006		2004-2005								
		Perce	ntage of S	Students Sco	oring			Percentage of Students Scoring						
	Participation	Below				Advanced 8	Proficient	Participation	Below			Ü	Advanced & Proficient	
	Rate	Basic	Basic	Proficient	Advanced	District	State	Rate	Basic	Basic	Proficient	Advanced	District	State
Grade 5: Math														
All Students	100	8	22	31	39	70	66	99	4	20	34	42	76	68
Gender														
Males	100	10	20	28	43	70	67	100	2	21	40	38	78	69
Females	100	7	24	35	35	70	65	99	6	20	29	45	74	68
Race/Ethnicity														
White	100	7	23	31	39	70	73	99	4	20	33	43	76	76
Other Groups														
IEP	100	34	34	17	14	31	34	100	23	36	27	14	34	34
Economic														
Disadvantaged	100	19	19	44	19	63	49	*	*	*	*	,	*	*
Grade 5: Readin	<u> </u>													
All Students	100	22	26	33	19	52	60	99	11	19	54	17	70	64
Gender														
Males	100	28		32		47	57	100	14	27	48	11	59	61
Females	100	17	26	35	22	57	63	99	8	13	58	21	79	67
Race/Ethnicity														
White	100	22	25	35	18	53	68	99	9	20	53	18	71	72
Other Groups														
IEP	100	66	21	3	10	14	26	100	50	23	23	5	27	27
Economic														
Disadvantaged	100	44	31	13	13	25	40	*	*	*	*	,	*	*

NA – Data are not available Results for fewer than ten students in a group are not reported for confidentiality reasons Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding

Table 5: Grade 6 Results

				-2006										
	Percentage of Students Scoring													
	Participation Below Advanced & Profic													
	Rate	Basic	Basic	Proficient	Advanced	District	State							
Grade 6: Math														
All Students	*	11	21	37	30	67	*							
Gender														
Males	*	8	23	39	30	69	*							
Females	*	13	20	35	31	66	*							
Race/Ethnicity														
White	*	11	21	38	31	69	*							
Other Groups														
IEP	*	42	25	29	4	33	*							
Economic														
Disadvantaged	*	*	*	*	*	*	*							
Grade 6: Reading		-												
All Students	*	13	16	37	34	71	*							
Gender														
Males	*	20	18	30	32	62	*							
Females	*	9	15	42	34	76	*							
Race/Ethnicity														
White	*	12	18	36	34	70	*							
Other Groups														
IEP	*	42	29	13	17	30	*							
Economic														
Disadvantaged	*	*	*	*	*	*	*							

NA – Data are not available
Results for fewer than ten students in a group are not
reported for confidentiality reasons
Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding

Table 6: Grade 7 Results

2005-2006													
		Porco			ring								
	Participation	Participation Below Advanced & Proficient Participation Below Advanced & Proficient											
	Rate	Basic	Basic	Proficient	Advanced	District	State						
Grade 7: Math	Rate	Dasic	Dasic	FIUICIEIIL	Auvanceu	DISTRICT	State						
		40	00	00	40	74	+						
All Students		10	20	28	43	71							
Gender													
Males	*	11	24	32	34	66	*						
Females	*	9	16	24	50	74	*						
Race/Ethnicity													
White	*	10	18	28	44	72	*						
Other Groups													
IEP	*	35	42	19	4	23	*						
Economic													
Disadvantaged	*	21	43	21	14	35	*						
Grade 7: Reading													
All Students	*	7	14	38	42	80	*						
Gender													
Males	*	12	18	36	34	70	*						
Females	*	3	10	39	48	87	*						
Race/Ethnicity													
White	*	6	13	37	43	80	*						
Other Groups													
IEP	*	23	27	42	8	50	*						
Economic													
Disadvantaged	*	21	21	36	21	57	*						

NA – Data are not available
Results for fewer than ten students in a group are not
reported for confidentiality reasons
Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding

Table 7: Grade 8 Results:

			2005-2	2006		2004-2005								
		Percent	age of St	udents Sco	ring			Percentage of Students Scoring						
	Participation				Advanced 8	Participation	Below				Advance	d & Proficient		
	Rate	Basic	Basic	Proficient	Advanced	District	State	Rate	Basic	Basic	Proficient	Advanced	District	State
Grade 8: Math														
All Students	99	13	14	29	45	74	62	99	12	27	28	33	61	62
Gender														
Males	100	9	13	27	51	77	62	100	10	30	33	27	60	63
Females	99	16	14	31	39	69	62	98	13	24	23	39	62	62
Race/Ethnicity														
White	99	13	14	28	45	73	69	99	11	27	29	33	62	70
Other Groups														
IEP	100	50	13	17	21	38	23	100	57	29	5	10	14	21
Economic														
Disadvantaged	100	30	10	20	40	60	42	*	*	*	*	*	*	
Grade 8: Reading	g													
All Students	100	5	12	31	52	83	70	99	7	15	28	50	78	64
Gender														
Males	100	6	8	36	49	86	67	100	8	17	35	40	75	58
Females	100	5	16	24	55	79	74	99	6	13	20	60	81	69
Race/Ethnicity														
White	100	6	12	30	52	82	78	99	7	15	28	50	79	71
Other Groups														
IEP	100	29	21	33	17	50	30	100	43	43	0	14	14	20
Economic														
Disadvantaged	100	10	10	30	50	80	50	*	*	*	*	*	*	

NA – Data are not available

Results for fewer than ten students in a group are not reported for confidentiality reasons Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding

Table 8: Grade 11 Results:

	2005-2006										2004-2005					
		Perce	ntage of S	Students Sco	ring			Percentage of Students Scoring								
	Participation	Below				Advanced 8	k Proficient	Participation	Below				Advance	d & Proficient		
	Rate	Basic	Basic	Proficient	Advanced	District	State	Rate	Basic	Basic	Proficient	Advanced	District	State		
Grade 11: Math																
All Students	96	30	19	31	20	51	52	99	22	18	37	23	60	51		
Gender																
Males	94	35	15	26	24	50	53	99	21	21	34	23	57	51		
Females	99	25	23	36	16	53	51	100	24	14	40	23	62	50		
Race/Ethnicity																
White	96	30	19	32	19	51	57	99	22	18	37	24	60	56		
Other Groups																
IEP	86	100	0	0	0	0	14	100	61	33	6	0	6	11		
Economic																
Disadvantaged	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
Grade 11: Readii																
All Students	96	13	14	39	34	73	65	99	16	9	39	36	75	65		
Gender																
Males	94	20	11	44	25	69	61	99	17		44	30		62		
Females	99	6	16	35	43	78	68	100	15	8	34	43	77	68		
Race/Ethnicity																
White	96	13	14	39	34	73	71	99	15	9	40	36	76	71		
Other Groups																
IEP	86	83	17	0	0	0	22	100	50	17	33	0	33	21		
Economic Disadvantaged	NA	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		

NA – Data are not available

Results for fewer than ten students in a group are not reported for confidentiality reasons Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding